

Influence of Biomethanated Spentwash and Chemical Fertilizers on Productivity and Quality of Soybean-Wheat Cropping Sequence

B. M. Kamble^{1*} and A. N. Deshpande²

¹Dept. of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural Research Station, K. Digraj, Sangli district, Maharashtra (416 305), India

²Mahatma Phule Agricultural University, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Article History

Manuscript No. AR695

Received in 26th March, 2014

Received in revised form 29th August, 2014

Accepted in final form 2nd September, 2014

Correspondence to

*E-mail: bmkamble2007@rediffmail.com

Keywords

Primary treated biomethanated spentwash, quality, yield, soybean, wheat

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted on effect of one time controlled application of primary treated biomethanated spentwash (PBSW) and chemical fertilizers on yield and quality of soybean -wheat cropping sequence on Inceptisol. The field experiment was though initiated during 2007-08 observations were recorded during third (2009-10) and fourth years (2010-11) of experimentation. The experiment was laid out in a RBD with five treatments viz., recommended dose (RD)-NPK, 100% RD of N through PBSW without P chemical fertilizer, 100% RD of N through PBSW+remaining P through chemical fertilizer, 50% RD of N through PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers and 25% RD of N through PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers with four replications. The higher oil and protein yield of soybean was observed in 100% RD-NPK and 25% RD of N through PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers, respectively. Lower doses of PBSW @ 25% RD of N through PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers improved the lysine, methionine and tryptophan content of soybean and protein, dry gluten, carbohydrate and net protein utilization of wheat. Application of 25% RD N-through PBSW well before sowing for soybean and wheat+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers was increased grain yield and improved the quality parameters of soybean and wheat.

1. Introduction

India is the sixth largest producer of ethanol in the world and the second largest in Asia (Anonymous, 2012). Most of the Indian distilleries use sugarcane molasses as raw material. Spentwash generated from distillation process from molasses has very high pollution potential (Joshi, 1999). As the spentwash contains considerable amount of organic matter and plant nutrients, particularly potassium and sulphur (K 1.03%; Sulphates 2421 mg L⁻¹), this can be applied to crops (50,000 L ha⁻¹) as a source of plant nutrients and has been reported to increase the yield of the pearl millet (Deshpande et al., 2009). Thus, application of spentwash as a source of plant nutrients offers a promising alternative for its safe disposal. Many farmers in the vicinity of sugar factories in Northern and Western India apply spentwash and spentwash containing products in their field as manure. The only problem with spentwash is excessive biological and chemical oxygen demand and electrical conductivity (Joshi, 1999). These problems could be overcome by the application

of spentwash well before the planting of the crop (40 to 60 days before planting) to give sufficient time for the natural oxidation of organic matter. The present study was undertaken to study the effect of one time controlled application of PBSW and chemical fertilizers on productivity and quality of crops in a soybean and wheat cropping sequence.

2. Material and Methods

The field experiment was initiated during 2007-08, however, third (2009-10) and fourth years (2010-11) of experiment were undertaken for long term research study. It was conducted on calcareous soil belonging to Sawargaon series of isohyperthermic family of *Vertic haplustepts* at Post Graduate Research Farm, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra. The experimental soil was alkaline (pH 8.42) in nature with EC of 0.37 dS m⁻¹ and clayey in texture. The soil N (alkaline KMnO₄), P₂O₅ (Olsen P) and K₂O (NH₄OAc) contents were 194, 19.12 and 702 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The experiment



was laid out in a RBD and replicated four times with five treatments viz., T₁-Recommended dose (RD)-NPK, T₂-100% RD of N through post biomethanated spentwash (PBSW) without P chemical fertilizer, T₃-100% RD of N through PBSW+remaining P through chemical fertilizer T₄-50% RD of N through PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers and T₅-25% RD of N through PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers Application of PBSW was done on fifty days before sowing of soybean and wheat. The sowing date of soybean was 07-07-2009 and 08-07-2010 in *kharif* season and for *rabi* wheat was 08-12-2009 and 06-12-2010. The basal dose of recommended dose fertilizer (RDF) was applied for soybean (50:75 N:P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹) as per the treatments. The RDF for wheat was 120:60:40 (N:P₂O₅:K₂O kg ha⁻¹), out of which half dose of N (60 kg ha⁻¹) and full dose of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ in treatment T₁ as basal dose. In treatment T₂ and T₃-100% and in T₄-50% dose of N and in T₅-25% N dose was applied through PBSW before sowing. In T₅-25% dose of N at sowing was supported through chemical fertilizer and remaining ½ dose of N was applied after 21 days of sowing in T₁, T₄ and T₅. The standard agronomic packages of practices were adopted in both the crops. The four irrigation for soybean and six irrigation for wheat were given during crop growth period and class was C₁S₁. The crop spacing was 30×10 cm² for soybean and 22.5 cm (row) for wheat. The PBSW was obtained from the distillery of Shri Baburaoji Tanpure co-operative sugar factory, Rahuri (MS) which was having characters viz., pH 7.40-7.48, EC 36.48-37.20 dS m⁻¹, BOD 5286-5443 and COD 24133-24874 mg L⁻¹, K⁺ 0.99-1.03%, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, SO₄²⁻ and Cl⁻ were 3422-3467, 2923-3080, 2390-2390, 2421-2643 and 2255-2418 mg L⁻¹, respectively. The grain and straw yield of soybean and wheat were recorded and grain samples of soybean and wheat were analyzed for total N by microkjeldahl method in H₂SO₄:H₂O₂ (1:1) digestion (A.O.A.C., 2005) and the crude protein was estimated by N% multiplying 5.71 for soybean and 5.83 for wheat (Thimmaiah,2006). The oil content was estimated using soxhlet apparatus (A.O.A.C., 2005) and quality parameters of soybean and wheat were analysed by using NIR Spectrophotometer (Osborne, 2007 and Cozzlino et al., 2006).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Yield of soybean and wheat

3.1.1. Grain and straw yield of soybean

The grain yield of soybean was significantly influenced by various treatments in both the years (2009-10 and 2010-11), whereas pooled grain yield of soybean was non-significant (Table 1). Higher pooled grain yield of soybean (7.12 q ha⁻¹)

was recorded in treatment T₅ whereas straw yield (21.39 q ha⁻¹) was significantly higher in treatment T₁ (RD-NPK). These results suggested that an integration of PBSW with inorganic source was better in harnessing higher grain yield. When PBSW at lower doses along with chemical fertilizers of N and P applied together, nitrogen will be released first by inorganic source and subsequently from the PBSW (Kalaiselvi and Mahimairaja, 2010 and Saha et al., 2013).

The treatment T₁ (RD-NPK) recorded the higher grain yield (3.52 q ha⁻¹) and it was at par with the treatments T₄ (2.91 q ha⁻¹) and T₅ (3.03 q ha⁻¹) i.e. 50% and 25% N-PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers during 2009-10. On the contrary, significantly higher grain yield of soybean (11.20 q ha⁻¹) was recorded in treatment T₅ and it was at par with treatment T₁ (10.41 q ha⁻¹) during the year 2010-2011. Similar trend was observed in straw yield of soybean during both the years of experimentation. The pooled means of straw yield also differ significantly from each other (Table 1). The treatment T₁ (21.39 q ha⁻¹) was giving highest pooled straw yield which was at par with T₅ (20.70 q ha⁻¹). Significantly lowest pooled straw yield of soybean was observed in T₂ (13.65 q ha⁻¹) which was statistically at par with T₃ (14.35 q ha⁻¹). The NPK was at par with 25% RD of N through PBSW+remaining N and P-chemical fertilizers for grain and straw yield of soybean in both the years of experimentation (2009-10 and 2010-11). It clearly indicated that 25% N fertilizer could be saved by application of PBSW. This might be due to mineralization of organic matter of PBSW and during the mineralization process more availability of nutrients including micronutrients to soybean. Similar observations were recorded by Suganya and Rajannan (2009) and Rath et al., (2011).

3.1.2. Grain and straw yield of wheat

Significantly the higher pooled grain yield of wheat was recorded in treatment T₁ (36.04 q ha⁻¹) over the rest of other treatments (Table 2). The straw yield of wheat was statistically

Table 1: Effect of one time application of PBSW on grain and straw yield of soybean

Treatment	Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)		
	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled mean
T ₁	3.52 ^a	10.41 ^{ab}	6.97	19.21 ^a	23.56 ^a	21.39 ^a
T ₂	1.78 ^b	6.11 ^c	3.95	11.47 ^b	15.82 ^c	13.65 ^c
T ₃	1.76 ^b	6.57 ^c	4.17	11.75 ^b	16.95 ^{bc}	14.35 ^c
T ₄	2.91 ^a	8.28 ^{bc}	5.60	15.77 ^a	21.90 ^{ab}	18.84 ^b
T ₅	3.03 ^a	11.20 ^a	7.12	17.97 ^a	23.42 ^a	20.70 ^a
SEm±	0.35	0.79	0.65	1.12	1.70	0.42
CD	0.94	2.43	NS	3.47	5.26	1.40

(p=0.05)



influenced by various treatments during 2010-11 and also on pooled data basis except straw yield in 2009-10. The treatments T₁ and T₄ and T₅ were at par with each other for pooled grain and straw yield of wheat. This might be due to PBSW contains essential plant nutrients which were made available to the plant as well as mineralization of organic material, from PBSW was rather fast as compare to other PBSW treatments due to comparatively less quantity of PBSW and high amount of chemical fertilizer N, which hastens the mineralization of PBSW, thus resulting in better growth, development and yield of the crop (Kalaiselvi and Mahimairaja, 2010 and Saha et al., 2013).

The higher grain yield of wheat was observed in treatment T₁ (32.99 and 39.08 q ha⁻¹) during both the years. However the treatment T₁ was significantly superior to all other treatments during 2009-10 and at par with treatments T₄ (35.47 q ha⁻¹) and T₅ (37.53 q ha⁻¹) during the year 2010-11 (Table 2). The similar trend as per grain yield was observed in straw yield of wheat during the both the years of experimentation. Among all the treatments, the treatment T₅ was recorded significantly higher straw yield of wheat (65.63 q ha⁻¹) during the year 2010-11 and in pooled means (54.76 q ha⁻¹), however T₅ was statistically at par with T₄ and T₁ during 2010-11 and in pooled straw means (62.33, 52.17 and 64.85, 54.74 q ha⁻¹, respectively). The treatment T₂ was recorded significantly lowest pooled straw yield (32.51 q ha⁻¹) and it was followed by the treatment T₃ (41.27 q ha⁻¹). The reduction in grain and straw yield of wheat recorded in 100% N-PBSW treatments might be due to toxic effect from excessive quantities of soluble salt and high amount of organic matter which resulted in immobilization of nutrients and thereby reduction in uptake of nutrients, ultimately affected growth and yield of wheat. The increasing grain and straw yield of wheat were noticed in treatments 25 and 50% N through PBSW and remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers. This might be due to comparatively small amount of PBSW in 25 and 50% N-PBSW as compare to 100%N-PBSW treatments

due to high amount of chemical N through fertilizers, which was helpful for increasing soil available nitrogen rather than immobilization as seen in 100% N-PBSW treatments. Similar observations were noticed by Sukanya et al., (2004) and Deshpande et al., (2009). The salt load added through 25 or 50% N-PBSW treatments was also low as compare to 100% N-PBSW, which was tolerated by the wheat, due to its well known tolerance nature against salt.

3.2. Oil content and oil yield of soybean

The oil content and oil yield of soybean was significantly influenced by various treatments except for oil content during the year 2010-11. Significantly higher pooled oil content (20.30%) and oil yield (142.20 kg ha⁻¹) of soybean was observed in treatment T₁ over the rest of other treatments, whereas the lower oil content (17.72%) and oil yield (70.73 kg ha⁻¹) of soybean was observed in treatment T₂. The treatments T₂ and T₃ were at par with each other for pooled oil content and oil yield of soybean (Table 3). The treatment of RD-NPK (T₁) was statistically at par with treatment of 25% N-PBSW+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers (T₅) with respect to the pooled oil yield (142.20 and 138.65 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Increased levels of PBSW decreased pooled oil content and oil yield of soybean.

Significantly higher oil yield of soybean was observed in treatment T₁ and T₅ during the 2009-10, however, during 2010-11, it was at par with that of T₅ (Table 3). The decrease in oil content and oil yield of soybean due to increase in PBSW application was observed which might be due to immobilization of nutrients due to high BOD and COD of PBSW and high salt concentrations, which affected yield and thereby oil yield of soybean. Taamalli et al., (2004) also reported that salt at high concentration reduces the transport of stored lipids as well as their content and composition of *Helianthus annuus*. Application of 25 or 50% RD of N through PBSW along with remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers helped in easy availability

Table 2: Effect of one time application of PBSW on grain and straw yield of wheat

Treatment	Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)			Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)		
	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled mean
T ₁	32.99 ^a	39.08 ^a	36.04 ^a	44.62	64.85 ^a	54.74 ^a
T ₂	13.41 ^c	26.32 ^b	19.87 ^b	19.06	45.95 ^c	32.51 ^c
T ₃	13.08 ^c	27.98 ^b	20.53 ^b	32.67	49.87 ^{bc}	41.27 ^b
T ₄	28.21 ^b	35.47 ^a	31.84 ^a	42.00	62.33 ^{ab}	52.17 ^a
T ₅	30.17 ^b	37.53 ^a	33.85 ^a	43.88	65.63 ^a	54.76 ^a
SEm±	0.80	1.89	2.41	6.03	4.37	2.18
CD	2.46	5.81	7.22	NS	13.46	6.52

(p=0.05)

Table 3: Effect of one time application of PBSW on oil content and oil yield of soybean

Treatment	Oil content (%)			Oil yield (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled mean
T ₁	20.07 ^a	20.53	20.30 ^a	70.64 ^a	213.75 ^a	142.20 ^a
T ₂	17.34 ^b	18.10	17.72 ^d	30.86 ^c	110.59 ^c	70.73 ^c
T ₃	17.73 ^b	18.01	17.87 ^d	31.21 ^c	118.31 ^c	74.76 ^{bc}
T ₄	18.00 ^b	18.93	18.47 ^c	52.38 ^b	156.77 ^b	104.58 ^b
T ₅	19.32 ^a	19.53	19.43 ^b	58.53 ^b	218.77 ^a	138.65 ^a
SEm±	0.41	0.72	0.09	0.96	5.86	10.18
CD	1.27	NS	0.28	6.72	18.07	31.38

(p=0.05)



of nutrients and thus helped in increasing the oil content and oil yield. Similar results were also reported by Bharagava et al., (2008) and De et al., (2013).

3.2.2. Protein content and protein yield of soybean

The protein content and protein yield of soybean was significantly influenced by various treatments in both the years and pooled means (Table 4). Significantly higher pooled protein content in soybean was found in treatment T₅ over the rest of other treatments except treatment T₄. Significantly lower protein content of soybean was noticed in treatment T₂ in both the years and pooled means. It clearly indicated that the application of PBSW without P chemical fertilizer decreased protein content of soybean.

The higher pooled protein yield of soybean was observed in treatment T₅ over the rest of other treatments and it was at par with treatments T₁ and T₄. The higher protein yield of soybean was observed in treatments T₁ and T₅ during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11, respectively (Table 4). The treatments T₁, T₄ and T₅ significantly differed with each other in both the years and the order of protein yield was T₁>T₅>T₄ during 2009-10 and T₅>T₁>T₄ during 2010-11. This might be due to lower levels of PBSW applied along with chemical fertilizer and also yield of soybean. The 25% N-PBSW+remaining N and P-chemical fertilizers was significantly superior over RD-NPK for protein concentration and protein yield, indicated superiority of small quantity of PBSW along with other N and P fertilizers over mere chemical fertilizer in improving protein content and protein yield of soybean. Similar results were reported by Sukanya and Meli (2004).

3.2.3. Protein content and protein yield of wheat

The protein content and protein yield of wheat was significantly influenced by various treatments in both the years of experimentation (Table 5). Higher protein content of wheat

was noticed in treatment T₅ and was found to be significantly superior to rest of other treatments except that it was at par with treatment T₁. The treatment RD-NPK was at par with 50% N-PBSW+remaining N and P chemical fertilizers and T₅. Similar trend was observed as per pooled protein content in both the years of experimentation.

The higher protein yield of wheat was observed in treatment T₁ over the rest of other treatments and it was at par with T₅ in both the years. The wheat protein yield was increased in treatments T₁, T₄ and T₅ as compared to T₂ and T₃ during both the years of study. Application of PBSW along with N and P chemical fertilizers increased protein content and protein yield of wheat at lower levels as compared to higher levels of PBSW. Similar observations were reported by Sukanya and Meli (2004).

3.2.4. Ash, lysine, crude fibre, methionine and tryptophan content of soybean

The quality parameters viz., ash and lysine were statistically significant and crude fibre, methionine and tryptophan were non significant in both the years of experimentation and pooled means (Table 6).

The higher pooled ash content (4.70%) was observed in treatment RD-NPK as compare to rest of other treatments and treatments T₄ and T₅ were at par with each other. The pooled lysine content of soybean was significantly higher in T₅ (6.19 g 16 g N⁻¹) which was at par with T₄ (6.17g 16 g N⁻¹) and T₁ (6.12g 16 g N⁻¹). This might be due to increased protein content in soybean due to combination organic (PBSW)+inorganic fertilizer (N and P chemical fertilizers). Lower doses of PBSW @ 25 and 50% N through PBSW+N and P chemical fertilizer improved the quality of soybean. The lowest pooled lysine and ash content of soybean was recorded in T₂ and T₃. The quality of soybean was decreased with higher levels of PBSW application. Similar results were reported by Soundarajan et

Table 4: Effect of one time application of PBSW on protein content and protein yield of soybean

Treat- ment	Protein content (%)		Pooled mean	Protein yield (kg ha ⁻¹)		Pooled mean
	2009- 10	2010- 11		2009- 10	2010- 11	
	T ₁	30.21 ^{bc}		30.78 ^b	30.50 ^{bc}	
T ₂	30.08 ^c	26.18 ^c	28.13 ^d	53.54 ^d	159.96 ^d	106.75 ^b
T ₃	30.75 ^{abc}	26.58 ^c	28.67 ^{cd}	54.12 ^d	174.63 ^d	114.38 ^b
T ₄	33.26 ^{ab}	31.12 ^{ab}	32.19 ^{ab}	96.79 ^c	257.67 ^c	177.23 ^a
T ₅	33.55 ^a	33.55 ^a	33.55 ^a	101.65 ^b	375.72 ^a	238.69 ^a
SEm±	0.56	0.83	0.63	1.22	6.93	20.01
CD (p=0.05)	3.06	2.55	1.94	3.77	21.36	61.68

Table 5: Effect of one time application of PBSW on protein content and protein yield of wheat

Treat- ment	Protein con- tent (%)		Pooled mean	Protein yield (kg ha ⁻¹)		Pooled mean
	2009- 10	2010- 11		2009- 10	2010- 11	
	T ₁	10.22 ^a		11.98 ^{ab}	11.10 ^{ab}	
T ₂	7.96 ^b	8.98 ^c	8.47 ^c	106.72 ^c	236.31 ^c	171.52 ^c
T ₃	8.03 ^b	9.42 ^c	8.73 ^c	105.04 ^c	263.44 ^c	184.24 ^c
T ₄	10.38 ^a	10.81 ^{bc}	10.60 ^b	292.75 ^b	383.60 ^b	338.18 ^b
T ₅	10.49 ^a	12.45 ^a	11.47 ^a	316.60 ^{ab}	467.14 ^a	391.87 ^a
SEm±	0.33	0.62	0.18	8.53	19.91	7.56
CD (p=0.05)	0.74	1.91	0.54	26.29	61.38	23.30



al., (2007).

3.2.5. Quality parameters of wheat

The quality parameters of wheat viz; pooled biological value, carbohydrate, dry gluten, net protein utilization, riboflavin and thiamine were significantly influenced by application of PBSW along with or without chemical fertilizers and non-significant effect was observed in crude fibre, lysine and niacin (Table 7 and 8).

The treatment T₅ showed significantly higher pooled biological value (54.86) which was at par with T₄ (54.63) and T₁ (53.95). The treatment T₂ (52.56) showed significantly lower biological value of wheat which was at par with T₃ (52.92). The pooled carbohydrate content in wheat was recorded significantly higher in T₁ and the treatments T₂, T₃ and T₄, T₅ were statistically at par with each other. The pooled dry gluten in wheat was statistically higher in T₅ (8.28%) which was at par with T₄ and T₁ (8.27 and 8.16, respectively) followed by T₃ and T₂ (7.60 and 7.67, respectively) and both T₃ and T₂ treatments were statistically at par with each other. Significantly higher pooled net protein utilization was observed in T₅ (52.71%) which were at par with T₄ (52.24%). The higher values of pooled riboflavin and thiamine were observed in T₅ (0.097 and 0.487mg 100g⁻¹, respectively) and T₅ was statistically at par

with T₄ for riboflavin and thiamine. In general, application of PBSW before sowing @ 25 or 50% RD of N through PBSW and remaining recommended N and P through chemical fertilizers, helped in improving wheat grain quality than that of 100% N through PBSW with or without P chemical fertilizer. This might be due to balanced availability of nutrients from PBSW and fertilizers and higher uptake of nutrients as well as protein content. These results were close in conformity with Sukanya and Meli (2004)

3.3. Economics of soybean and wheat

The highest gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio of soybean and wheat was recorded in treatment T₁ (₹ 59075 ha⁻¹, ₹ 21852 ha⁻¹ and 1.59) and followed by treatment T₅ during the year 2009-10 (Table 9). In the second year of experimentation during the 2010-11, the highest net returns and B: C ratio of soybean and wheat was recorded in treatment T₅ (₹ 43311 ha⁻¹ and 2.18) and followed by treatment T₁. The highest mean of gross returns and net returns of soybean and wheat was recorded in treatment T₁ (₹ 69716 ha⁻¹ and ₹ 31596 ha⁻¹) and B:C ratio in treatment T₅. However, the lowest mean of gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio of soybean and wheat was recorded in treatments T₂ and T₃ due to application of PBSW. The higher mean of B:C ratio of soybean and wheat

Table 6: Effect of one time application of PBSW on quality parameters of soybean

Treatment	Ash (%)			Crude fibre (%)			Lysine (g 16 g N ⁻¹)			Methionine (g 16 g N ⁻¹)			Tryptophan (g 16 g N ⁻¹)		
	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean
T ₁	4.65 ^a	4.74 ^a	4.70 ^a	4.63	4.97	4.80	6.18 ^a	6.06 ^{ab}	6.12 ^{ab}	1.46	1.51	1.49	1.45	1.45	1.45
T ₂	4.17 ^c	4.26 ^b	4.22 ^c	4.73	4.54	4.64	6.04 ^b	5.64 ^c	5.84 ^c	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.43	1.41	1.42
T ₃	4.31 ^{bc}	4.35 ^b	4.33 ^b	4.78	5.22	5.00	6.02 ^b	5.72 ^{bc}	5.87 ^c	1.42	1.41	1.42	1.44	1.45	1.45
T ₄	4.57 ^{ab}	4.42 ^b	4.50 ^b	4.57	4.69	4.63	6.21 ^a	6.12 ^{ab}	6.17 ^{ab}	1.49	1.50	1.50	1.46	1.46	1.46
T ₅	4.58 ^{ab}	4.41 ^b	4.50 ^b	4.46	5.16	4.81	6.18 ^a	6.20 ^a	6.19 ^a	1.51	1.46	1.49	1.51	1.46	1.49
SEm±	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.20	0.187	0.10	0.03	0.14	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02
CD (p=0.05)	0.31	0.28	0.17	NS	NS	NS	0.10	0.44	0.15	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 7: Effect of one time application of PBSW on quality parameters of wheat

Treatment	Biological value			Carbohydrate (%)			Fibre (%)			Lysine (g 16 g N ⁻¹)			Dry gluten (%)		
	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean
T ₁	53.60 ^{ab}	54.30	53.95 ^b	84.90 ^a	82.00 ^a	83.45 ^a	1.13	1.13	1.13	2.21	2.22	2.22	8.30 ^a	8.01 ^{ab}	8.16 ^a
T ₂	51.65 ^c	53.46	52.56 ^c	81.75 ^b	78.70 ^c	80.23 ^c	1.10	1.12	1.11	2.20	2.14	2.17	7.41 ^b	7.78 ^b	7.60 ^b
T ₃	52.73 ^{bc}	53.10	52.92 ^c	81.66 ^b	79.35 ^{bc}	80.51 ^c	1.10	1.01	1.06	2.28	2.21	2.25	7.53 ^b	7.80 ^b	7.67 ^b
T ₄	54.17 ^{ab}	55.09	54.63 ^{ab}	82.84 ^b	80.98 ^{ab}	81.91 ^b	1.13	1.14	1.14	2.21	2.28	2.25	8.28 ^a	8.25 ^a	8.27 ^a
T ₅	55.17 ^a	54.54	54.86 ^a	83.05 ^{ab}	81.62 ^a	82.34 ^b	1.12	1.15	1.14	2.24	2.30	2.27	8.25 ^a	8.30 ^a	8.28 ^a
SEm±	0.63	0.81	0.26	0.67	0.65	0.20	0.017	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.22	0.134	0.08
CD (p=0.05)	1.94	NS	0.79	2.06	2.01	0.61	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.40	0.413	0.26



Table 8: Effect of one time application of PBSW on quality parameters of wheat

Treatment	Net protein utilisation (%)			Niacin (mg 100 g ⁻¹)			Riboflavin (mg 100 g ⁻¹)			Thiamine (mg 100 g ⁻¹)		
	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Pooled Mean
T ₁	52.75 ^a	51.34 ^{bc}	52.05 ^b	3.97 ^c	4.25 ^a	4.11	0.085 ^{bc}	0.095	0.090 ^b	0.434 ^c	0.471	0.453 ^b
T ₂	51.92 ^{bc}	50.77 ^c	51.35 ^c	4.35 ^a	3.90 ^c	4.13	0.080 ^c	0.087	0.084 ^c	0.443 ^c	0.463	0.453 ^b
T ₃	51.71 ^c	50.82 ^c	51.27 ^c	4.29 ^a	4.10 ^{bc}	4.20	0.092 ^{ab}	0.089	0.091 ^b	0.468 ^b	0.489	0.479 ^a
T ₄	52.33 ^{ab}	52.15 ^{ab}	52.24 ^{ab}	4.21 ^b	4.24 ^{ab}	4.23	0.094 ^a	0.100	0.097 ^a	0.486 ^{ab}	0.496	0.491 ^a
T ₅	52.68 ^a	52.73 ^a	52.71 ^a	4.05 ^b	4.21 ^{ab}	4.13	0.097 ^a	0.097	0.097 ^a	0.495 ^a	0.479	0.487 ^a
SEm±	0.19	0.34	0.18	0.023	0.050	0.08	0.002	0.005	0.002	0.008	0.011	0.006
CD (p=0.05)	0.60	1.04	0.56	0.069	0.143	NS	0.007	NS	0.005	0.024	NS	0.017

Table 9: Effect of one time application of PBSW on economics of soybean and wheat

Treatment	Gross returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)			Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)			B:C ratio		
	2009-10	2010-11	Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Mean	2009-10	2010-11	Mean
T ₁	59075	80357	69716	21852	41340	31596	1.59	2.06	1.83
T ₂	25068	51983	38526	-2333	22788	10228	0.91	1.78	1.36
T ₃	24537	55472	40005	-6527	22613	8043	0.79	1.69	1.25
T ₄	50250	70197	60224	16607	34761	25684	1.49	1.98	1.74
T ₅	53581	80036	66809	18650	43311	30981	1.53	2.18	1.86

was observed in treatment T₅ and followed by T₁. This might be due to higher grain yield of soybean and wheat crop was obtained in these treatments as compared to other treatments. The similar results were observed by Selvamurugan et al., (2013) also noticed that the net returns and benefit cost ratio of sugarcane were also as high as ₹ 19,612 ha⁻¹ and 1.90 for the treatment that received pre-sown application of biomethanated distillery spentwash @100 m³ ha⁻¹ along with recommended NP as compared to control of ₹ 19,612 ha⁻¹ and 1.38, respectively. The lower mean B: C ratio of soybean and wheat was observed in treatments T₂ and T₃.

4. Conclusion

One time of application of 25% N-recommended dose PBSW well before sowing for soybean and wheat+remaining N and P through chemical fertilizers increased grain yield and improved the quality parameters of soybean and wheat. As the PBSW contain high amount of K, potassium fertilizers should need not be added separately. Hence, the PBSW can be conveniently and judiciously used as a source of plant nutrients for soybean and wheat crop.

5. References

- A.O.A.C., 2005. Official Methods of Analysis. Publ. Association of Official Agricultural Chemist, 18th Ed. Washington, D.C.
- Anonymous, 2012. OCED-FAO Agricultural outlook: Biofuels 87-117.
- Bharagava, R.N., Chandra, R., Rai, V., 2008. Phytoextraction of trace elements and physiological changes in Indian mustard plants (*Brassica nigra* L.) grown in post methanated distillery effluent (PMDE) irrigated soil. Bioresource Technology 99(17), 8316-8324.
- Cozzolino, D., Delluchi, I., Kholi, M., Vazquez, D., 2006. Use of near infrared reflectance spectroscopy to evaluate quality characteristics in whole-wheat grain, Agriculture Technology. 66(4), 370-375.
- De, P.S., V.M., Bhale, Khadse, V.A., 2013. Quality and economics of summer sesame (*sesamum indicum* l.) As influenced by irrigation and nutrient levels International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 4(2 special) 369-371.
- Deshpande, A.N., Palwe, C.R., Bagwan, I.R., 2009. Effect of application of post biomethanated spentwash on soil and water properties, nutrient uptake and yield of rainfed pearl millet in Maharashtra. In: State level seminar on soil management for food security, Rahuri, 26-27.
- Joshi, H.C., 1999. Bio-energy potential of distillery effluents. Bio-Energy News, 3(6), 1-7.
- Kalaiselvi, P., Mahimairaja, S., 2010. Effect of spentwash application on nitrogen dynamics in soil. International Journal of Environment, Science and Development 1(2), 184-189.
- Osborne, B.G., 2007. NIR Analysis of Cereal Products. In: Burns D.A. & E.W. Ciurczak (Eds.), Handbook of near-infrared analysis 3rd edition, 399-414.
- Rath, P., Pradhan, G., Misra, M.K., 2011. Effect of distillery spent wash (DSW) and fertilizer on growth and



- chlorophyll content of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). Plant Recent Research Science Technology 3(4), 169-176.
- Saha, D., Paul, N., Das, A., 2013. Influence of drying phases in a continuous flooded situation on liberation of different forms of inorganic and organic N in soil. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 4(4), 510-517.
- Selvamurugan, M., Doraisamy, P., Maheswari, M., 2013. Biomethanated distillery spentwash and pressmud biocompost as sources of plant nutrients for groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Journal of Applied and Natural Science 5(2), 328-334.
- Soundarrajan, M., Pitchai, G.J., Kumar, T.S., 2007. Impact of distillery spentwash on growth, yield and quality of *bhendi* (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) on Alfisol. Advances in Plant Sciences 20(1), 137-140.
- Suganya, K., Rajannan, G., 2009. Effect of one time post sown and presown application of distillery spentwash on the growth and yield of maize crop. Botany Research International 2(4), 288-294.
- Sukanya, T.S., Meli, S.S., 2004. Influence of distillery effluents on growth, yield and quality of wheat. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 17(3), 434-439.
- Taamalli, W., Abaza, L., Ben Youssef, N., Daoud Ben Miled, D., Zarrouk, M., 2004. Dégradation des lipides dans les semences de tournesol (*Helianthus annuus* L.) au cours de la croissance post germinative en conditions de stress salin. La Rivista Italiana Delle Sostanze Grasse 2, 90-97.
- Thimmaiah, S.K., 2006. Standard methods of biochemical analysis, Kalyani Publishers, First Edition, New Delhi, 243-256.

